

Investigating Complaints of Past Misconduct: Challenges and Considerations for Title IX Coordinators and Investigators

ADRIENNE MEADOR MURRAY

D. STAFFORD & ASSOCIATES & NACCOP

PRESENTED JANUARY 11, 2022




Today's webinar is being conducted by the National Association of Clery Compliance Officers and Professionals (NACCOP).

Sponsored by



NACCOP Partners







Copyright

Limited permission is granted to each attendee of this class to make training materials available per the requirements outlined in the Title IX Regulations published on May 19, 2020.

These materials are copyright of NACCOP. (© 2022 NACCOP. All rights reserved.)

Any distribution or reproduction of part or all of the contents in any form is prohibited other than the following:

- As required by 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(1)(iii) and § 106.45(B)(10)(i)(D), this material in its entirety may be posted to the website of the institution in which you were associated with at the time in which you were enrolled in this training. NACCOP gives permission for clients to convert the provided documents as necessary to be ADA-compliant.
- Public inspection upon request.
- You may not, except with our express written permission, distribute or commercially exploit the content. Nor may you transmit it or store it in any other website or other form of electronic retrieval system.



Agenda

- Review of the Regulations
- Challenges and Considerations



Case Study: Quarantine

You are the Title IX Coordinator and receive a signed formal complaint from a student who reported being sexually assaulted off-campus by multiple members of the debate team. The Complainant reported that the incident(s) occurred on January 18, 2021, while at a party that wasn't supposed to occur due to quarantine mandates.

What do you do?

What must you consider?

Is this a "Title IX" case?

If so, what challenges exist?

If not, where will it go at your institution?



Title IX: Applicability/Jurisdiction



1-Definition-Behavior, as alleged, meets definition of sexual harassment in regs

2-Persons-Participating in/attempting to participate; substantial control of Respondent

3-Location-Within educational program or activity AND in the United States

1-Definition of Sexual Harassment-2020 Regs



Sexual harassment means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

- 1. An employee of the recipient conditioning the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the recipient on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct;
- 2. Unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to the recipient's education program or activity;
- 3. "Sexual assault" as defined in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), "dating violence" as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(10), "domestic violence" as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(8), or "stalking" as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(30).

2-Jurisdiction of Person





"At the time of filing a formal complaint...the complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity"



The institution must exercise control over the Respondent



All regulations apply to students and employees

© 2021 D. STAFFORD & ASSOCIATES 9

3-Jurisdiction of Activity (Location)



Behavior must occur as part of the "education program or activity"

- Locations, events, or circumstances over which the recipient exercised substantial control over the context in which the sexual harassment occurs
- And any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized

Must occur in the United States (including US territories)

© 2021 D. STAFFORD & ASSOCIATES 10

Mandatory Dismissal





For it to be covered under Title IX, it must meet:

Definitions

Jurisdiction of person

Jurisdiction of activity



If it does NOT meet these requirements...

Mandatory dismissal

Can go to different resolution process

Does Not Preclude Action









Student Conduct

Human Resources

Other Options

BUT—NO Policy Shopping! If it meets the jurisdictional requirements of Title IX, it is Title IX or bust! (i.e., cannot push to another policy simply because someone doesn't want to file through Title IX.....)

May Dismiss









Complainant Withdraws
Complaint

Respondent No Longer Enrolled/Employed Prevented from Gathering Evidence



Case Study: Hotline





Your institution's President contacts you, the Title IX Coordinator. She states that a complaint has come through the Ethics Hotline alleging the now Provost engaged in sexually harassing conduct approximately 9 years while he was the Chair of the English Department. Specifically, the reporter, who has since graduated but was a graduate student at the time, states that the now Provost touched her breasts without her consent while the two were at a school-related conference in another state. The President would like this investigated and resolved immediately and a report prepared for the Board as soon as possible.

What do you do?

What must you consider?

Is this a "Title IX" case?



"Past Misconduct"-Policy Jurisdiction Based on Date of Occurrence


"THE TITLE IX RULE IS EFFECTIVE ON AUGUST 14, 2020, AND IS NOT RETROACTIVE"

"Consistent with the Department's statements in the preamble to the Title IX Rule regarding non-retroactivity, the Rule does not apply to schools' responses to sexual harassment that allegedly occurred prior to August 14, 2020. The Department will only enforce the Rule as to sexual harassment that allegedly occurred on or after August 14, 2020. With respect to sexual harassment that allegedly occurred prior to August 14, 2020, OCR will judge the school's Title IX compliance against the Title IX statute and the Title IX regulations in place at the time that the alleged sexual harassment occurred. In other words, the Rule governs how schools must respond to sexual harassment that allegedly occurs on or after August 14, 2020."

Office for Civil Rights Blog - 20200622 (ed.gov)

Additional Challenge





Complaint from incident that reportedly occurred before the regs went into effect, but policy/procedure in place now looks significantly different.

- How will the parties feel about this?
- Should you rely on policy in place at time but procedures that are in place now?
- How do you reconcile what a student gets now versus what was in place at the time the incident reportedly occurred?



"Past Misconduct"-Policy Jurisdiction Based on Date on Location

Location of incident-Does location of incident play a role in determining policy jurisdiction in reports of past misconduct?

"Past Misconduct"-Other Challenges



Passage of time means diminished memories for witnesses

Noncooperating parties and/or witnesses (no ability to compel, appropriately—our process is about opportunity and access)

Parties leaving institution (students and employees)

Capacity to report what occurred

Fear of retaliation

Fear of live hearing and cross examination

No trusted advisor

Prelim and final reportsconstruction, understanding, feedback

© 2022 NACCOP

19



Thanks to our sponsor!



For more information: dstaffordandassociates.com

Upcoming D. Stafford Classes

- Title IX Coordinator IN PERSON
 - May 23-26, 2022
 Wentworth Institute of Technology in Boston, MA
- Title IX Coordinator Training-VIRTUAL

Class 1 (Virtual) will be held on over the following dates:

February 1, 2022;

February 8, 2022;

February 15, 2022;

February 22, 2022; and

March 1, 2022.

Class 2 (Virtual) to be held:

October 10-14, 2022

Thanks to our sponsor!



For more information: dstaffordandassociates.com

Upcoming D. Stafford Classes

Investigation of Sexual Misconduct/DVDVS-VIRTUAL

April 25-29, 2022

Advanced Investigation in Sexual Misconduct Training-IN PERSON

June 13-17, 2022 Prince George's Community College in Largo, MD (Outside Washington, D.C.)